Minerva Prize Nomination Form





Nominations for the DPC/NNV Minerva Prize 2021 should be sent to <u>directeur@nnv.nl</u> by **1 October 2021** at the latest. If you have questions about the prize or nomination form, please contact the secretaries of the award:

Arlette Werner (DPC), via a.werner@dutchphysicscouncil.nl

Noortje de Graaf (NNV), via <u>directeur@nnv.nl</u> (Please let me know when you would like to receive the nomination form as word file)

I - General information:

Contact details

Information of the nominee		Information of the nominator (if different from nominee)	
Name:		Name:	
Affiliation:		Affiliation:	
E-mail:		E-mail:	
Tel.:		Tel.:	
Date MSc			
diploma*:			
MSc <specify research<="" td=""><td>h field></td><td></td><td></td></specify>	h field>		

^{* 1)} or an equivalent of a MSc diploma;

2) Should be after 1 October 2011. Note, extension of the 10-year professional life period can be applicable, if well-motivated (and positively assessed by the jury).

	If of relevance, please add your motivation for extension of the 10-year professional life period:
	

Summary

Summarize the nomination in a maximum of 100 words:

II – Motivational letter by the nominator (max 2 pages)

Please motivate why you think the nominee should be considered as the most promising & outstanding female or non-binary physicist currently in the Netherlands and should win the Minerva Prize. You have to explicitly address all the assessment criteria as listed on the Rules & Guidelines of this prize when describing why the candidate scientifically excels. Bear in mind that the nomination, and any supportive documents are in line with the DORA guidelines.

.....

III - Narrative CV of the nominee (max 1.5 page)

Please make use of the following template of the narrative CV (adhering to <u>DORA</u> guidelines). If you are nominating someone else, we advise you to inform the nominee as you will need to provide their contact details and other specific information.

Name candidate:		
Education history:		
Current profession:		

Scientific profile:

Provide a comprehensive narrative with the scientific research achievements that demonstrate the candidate's outstanding qualities. Please, note to refer to relevant aspects of the two evaluation criteria.

...

Key output:

- List the key five publications of the nominee and describe the role of the candidate in the publication. How
 does this output show the abilities/qualities as a researcher of the candidate? Please, do not refer to Hindex/journal impact factors, etc.
- In addition, you may include other output as well with a maximum of 5 items. This is not limited to refereed articles, non-refereed articles, letters (to editors), books, book chapters, pre-prints, patents, working papers, proceedings, conference reports, software, code and open access databases. Please describe the role of the candidate in this additional key output.

Please clarify the role of the candidate (if you are looking for suggestions, you may look here: <u>CRediT –</u> Contributor Roles Taxonomy).

...

IV - Two letters of support

Nominators will be required to seek for two letters of support of which at least one letter is coming from an expert outside of the nominees' department. These experts will be well regarded in the appropriate field, familiar with the work of the nominee and be able to comment on the scientific impact of the candidate.