

Forrest Bradbury

Lecturer at Amsterdam University College





the Comenius Project team:

- Freek Pols (TU Delft)
- Paul Vlaanderen (UvA, PPMproductions)
- Jasper Homminga (TU Twente and its university college)

Forrest Bradbury

Lecturer at Amsterdam University College



outline:



AUC context

Some experience

Intriguing literature

in the context of AUC's program:





AMSTERDAM UNIVERSITY COLLEGE

promotional film:

http://www.auc.nl/about-auc/about-auc.html

in the context of AUC's program:

- general:
 - small group sizes (≤25)
 - higher admission requirements
 - higher tuition
- "Maker Lab" is an *interdisciplinary* natural science lab course
- AUC has:
 - no lab facilities/rooms ⊗ , except the "Maker Lab Closet" ☺
 - separate 2nd year disciplinary (e.g. physics) lab courses ⁽²⁾
 - separate statistics and modeling courses ⁽²⁾
 - students with above-average motivation ⁽²⁾



air

water

my previous experience: the Rocket Project

Timeline:

Seven 90 min. classes (out of 30)

in 6 EC Classical Mechanics course (thus nearly 1.5 EC)

	Activities:	Deadlines:
16-Oct	Hands-on modeling lecture	Teams (of 3) announced at the end of lecture
20-Oct	Theory lecture on fluid mechanics	
23-Oct	Theory lecture on thermal expansion	
27-Oct	Modeling Q&A session	
<mark>30-Oct</mark>	Intro to accelerometer measurements	RocketLab model (numerical simulation) due
3-Nov	Accelerometer calibration	
5&6-Nov	Rocket launch!	
13-Nov		RocketLab report due, including updated model

Hardest part: getting them to code and create numerical models



Flipping science lab courses with portable sensors my previous experience: the Rocket Project air water 6 iterations

in which I think my instruction and materials improved dramatically!

my previous experience: the Rocket Project

<u>Observation:</u> despite big "improvements" in my instruction, work of subsequent iterations of students never improved

Why was the first batch so special?

- Was it the novelty?
- The ownership?
- The challenge?



air

water

What does the literature say about effective lab courses?

literature on improving physics labs:



"Flipping" a physical science lab course

literature on improving physics labs:





literature on improving physics labs:



literature on improving physics labs:

Scientific habits of mind Understanding the nature of science and measurement



Wilcox & Lewandowski (2017) Phys. Rev. PER 13, 010108

literature on improving physics labs:

Scientific habits of mind Understanding the nature of science and measurement

Reflect on

comparison

When openly questioned, what do the students say they are doing?

(EPCTA = Experimental Physics Cognitive Task Analysis)

N. G. HOLMES and CARL E. WIEMAN

PHYS. REV. PHYS. EDUC. RES. 12, 020103





Act on

comparison

FIG. 3. Fraction of interviews in which the EPCTA elements were discussed in the context of URE, class work, or the structured or design lab courses. Comments were categorized as Yes (students were performing this task), No (students were not performing this task), or Mixed (some students were and others were not).

literature on improving physics labs:

Analysis: aside from "practical skills", learning outcomes may be best served by open-inquiry "Design labs"



literature on improving physics labs:

"Research cycle" design labs: big financial and logistical hurdles !

Professor Holmes' Summary:

- Labs offer opportunity to teach critical thinking and experimentation skills (with suggested limits to how well they teach physics concepts)
- SQILabs use deliberate practice with cycles of comparisons and making decisions to develop students' critical thinking skills
- Other pedagogies and things to check out:
 - Investigative Science Learning Environments (studio/workshop, Rutgers)
 - iOLab (pocket device students can take home, UIUC)
 - Teaching measurement and uncertainty the GUM way (Cape Town)



literature on improving physics labs:



plans: tools and the maker movement

our choice for enabling open-inquiry:

sensors controlled by the Arduino Uno:

and data analysis and lab reports with Python Jupyter notebooks

plans: course schedule & assessment structure

6 EC Maker Lab Schedule:

First 7 class sessions:

Structured experiments training Maker & Experimental Skills

Two sets of 11 class sessions: Open-inquiry experiments

In total:

29 sessions of 90 minutes15 weeks with 2 sessions per week44 of the 168 are contact hours

Each open-inquiry experiment

Follows Wieman's research cycle:

Cognitive Task Analysis Elements

- 1. Establishing research goals
- 2. Defining criteria for suitable evidence
- 3. Determining feasibility of experiment
- 4. Experimental design
- 5. Construction and testing of apparatus/code
- 6. Analyzing data
- 7. Evaluating results and analyzing implications
- 8. Presenting the work

Assessments:

- proposal form
- mid-way pitch (graded)
- final poster presentation (graded)

conclusions:

- no results to report yet...
- the motivations:



Thanks for your attention! questions / comments?